## IE605: Engineering Statistics Lecture 11

#### Previous Lecture:

- Data Reduction
- Sufficiency principle
- Sufficient Statistics
- Factorization theorem
- Minimum statistics
- Ancillary statistics

#### This Lecture:

Likelihood functions

## Likelihood function

Given that  $\boldsymbol{X} = \boldsymbol{x}$  is observed, the function  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  defined by

$$\mathcal{L}(oldsymbol{ heta}|oldsymbol{x}) = egin{cases} f(oldsymbol{x}|oldsymbol{ heta}) & ext{if }oldsymbol{X} ext{ is continuous} \ P_{ heta}(oldsymbol{X}=oldsymbol{x}) & ext{if }oldsymbol{X} ext{ is discrete} \end{cases}$$

When a sample x is observed. For a given parameters  $(\theta_1, \theta_2)$  if

$$P_{\boldsymbol{ heta}_1}(\boldsymbol{X}=\boldsymbol{x}) = L(\boldsymbol{ heta}_1|\boldsymbol{x}) > L(\boldsymbol{ heta}_2|\boldsymbol{x}) = P_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{X}=\boldsymbol{x})$$

Sample would have come more likely from parameter  $\theta_1$  than  $\theta_2$ .  $\theta_1$  is more **plausible** value of true parameter  $\theta$  than  $\theta_2$ 

IE605:Engineering Statistics

#### Examples

Binomial: Suppose X is Binomial with known n and unknown p. If X = x is observed, then the likelihood function is

$$L(p|x) = P_p(X=x) = \binom{n}{x} p^x (1-p)^{n-x}.$$

• Exponential: if  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ , where  $X_i$ s are iid exponential with unknown  $\lambda$ 

$$L(\lambda|x) = f(x|\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \lambda \exp\{-\lambda x_i\}$$

• Gaussian: if  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ , where  $X_i$ s are iid Gaussian with unknown  $\mu$  and known  $\sigma^2$ 

$$L(\mu|x) = f(x|\mu) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\{-(x_i - \mu)^2/2\sigma^2\}$$

IE605:Engineering Statistics

## Likelihood Principle

If samples x and y are such that  $L(\theta|x) = C(x, y)L(\theta|y)$  for all  $\theta$ , then conclusion drawn from x and y are identical. C(x, y) is independent of  $\theta$ .

Example: Let  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$  are iid Gaussian with unknown  $\mu$  and known  $\sigma^2$ . For any samples  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$ 

$$L(\mu|\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}|\mu) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\{-(x_i - \mu_i)^2/2\sigma^2\}$$
$$L(\mu|\mathbf{y}) = f(\mathbf{y}|\mu) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\{-(y_i - \mu_i)^2/2\sigma^2\}$$
$$C(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \exp\left\{-\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})/2\sigma^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \bar{y})/2\sigma^2\right\}$$

 $L(\mu|x) = L(\mu|y)$  if and only if  $\bar{x} = \bar{y}$ . Same conclusion is drawn about interfigure interfigure interfigure x and  $y_{\text{NRA}}$  is fying  $\bar{x} = \bar{y}$ 

# Maximum Likelihood Estimators

For a given  $\mathbf{x}$ , let  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x})$  be the parameter at which  $L(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathbf{x})$  attains maximum value as a function of  $\theta$ , i.e.,

$$\hat{oldsymbol{ heta}}(oldsymbol{x})\in rg\max_{oldsymbol{ heta}} L(oldsymbol{ heta}|oldsymbol{x})$$

 $\hat{\theta}(\mathbf{x})$  is the Maximum Likelihood Estimator (MLE) of parameter  $\theta$  at  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}$ .

- MLE is the parameter point for which the oberved point is most likely
- I general, MLE is a good **point estimator** and is by far the most popular technique for deriving estimators.

# Solving MLE

- How to find global optima?
- How to verify the found solution is the global optima?
- When  $L(\theta|\mathbf{x})$  is differentiable in each component  $\theta_i$ , simple differential calculus can be applied.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} L(\boldsymbol{\theta} | \boldsymbol{x}) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

How sensitive is the solution to small changes in sample data

**Examples**:

Normal Likelihood:  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$  be i.i.d.  $\sim \mathcal{N}(\theta, 1)$ .

Likelihood function is

$$L(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{\frac{(x_i - \theta)^2}{2}\right\}$$

•  $\frac{d}{d\theta}L(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = 0 \implies \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \theta) = 0.$  (first order condition) •  $\hat{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}{n} = \bar{\mathbf{x}}.$  Is  $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$  global optimal? • Check  $\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2}L(\theta|\mathbf{x})|_{\theta=\bar{\mathbf{x}}} < 0$ ? (second order condition) Bernoulli Likelihood:  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$  be i.i.d.  $\sim Ber(p).$ 

Likelihood function is

$$L(p|\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p^{x_i} (1-p)^{1-x_i} = p^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i} (1-p)^{n-\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}$$

► Solve  $\frac{d}{d\theta}L(p|\mathbf{x}) = 0$  and find  $\bar{p}$  (first order condition) ► Check  $\frac{d^2}{dp^2}L(p|\mathbf{x})|_{p=\bar{p}} < 0$  (second order condition) IE605:Engineering Statistics

## Log Likelihood Functions

- It is easier work with logarithms of Likelihood functions
- ▶ log  $L(\theta|\mathbf{x})$  instead of  $L(\theta|\mathbf{x})$ , called log likelihood function
- As log(·) is monotone, solution of maximization problem does not change!
- Normal Log Likelihood:

$$\log L(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = n \log \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \theta)^2}{2}$$

Binomial Log Likelihood:

$$\log L(p|\mathbf{x}) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i\right) \log p + \left(n - \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i\right) \log(1-p)$$
$$\frac{d}{dp} L(p|\mathbf{x}) = 0 \implies \hat{p} = \bar{x}$$

IE605:Engineering Statistics

## Other Point Estimators:

- Maximum Likelihood estimator
- Method of moments
- Bayes method
- Expectation Maximization (EM) method

## Method of Moments (MM)

- Method of Moments(MM) is one the oldest method for finding point estimator (since 1800!)
- MM estimators are found by equating the first k sample moments to the corresponding population moments
- **X** be a sample from pmf/pdf  $f(\mathbf{x}|(\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k))$

$$m_{1} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{1}, \qquad \mu_{1}' = \mathbb{E}(X^{1})$$
$$m_{2} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{2}, \qquad \mu_{2}' = \mathbb{E}(X^{2})$$
$$\vdots$$

$$m_k = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^k, \qquad \qquad \mu'_k = \mathbb{E}(X^k)$$

Usually,  $\mu'_{j}$ S will be function of  $(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \dots, \theta_{k})$ , say  $\mu'_{i}(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \dots, \theta_{k})$ E605:Engisteering Statistics Manjesh K. Hanawal

## Solving MMs

Obtain k equations by equating

$$m_1 = \mu'_1(\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k)$$
$$m_2 = \mu'_2(\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k)$$
$$\vdots$$

$$m_k = \mu'_k( heta_1, heta_2, \dots, heta_k)$$

Normal Method of Moments:  $X = (X_1, X_2, ..., X_n)$  are i.i.d.  $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ .  $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2) = (\mu, \sigma^2)$ .

- $m_1 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = \bar{X}$  (first moment),  $m_2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2$  (second moment)
- $\mu'_1(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \mu$  (mean),  $\mu'_2(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \mu^2 + \sigma^2$  (second moment).

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n} = \theta_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2}{n} = \theta_1^2 + \theta_2.$$

IE605:Engineering Statistics